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## Summary

1,3-Dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone (dimethylethylene urea, DMEU) and 1,3-di-methyl-3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2(1H)-pyrimidinone (dimethylpropylene urea, DMPU) adducts of the type $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnX} \cdot \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$ and I$), \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbX} \cdot \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$, $3 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbCl} \cdot 2 \mathrm{DMEU}$ and $2 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbCl} \cdot$ DMPU have been prepared and characterized. Assignments are made for $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ and $\nu(\mathrm{CN})$ frequencies in the IR, and for skeletal frequencies observed in both the IR and Raman spectra in the range 400 to 100 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Infrared measurements show that the adducts are bound through the carbonyl oxygen, and are highly dissociated in dichloromethane solution. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ or ${ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}$ NMR measurements reveal that ligand exchange, fast on the NMR time scale, occurs in solution. Coordination of the ligand causes a large upfield shift in the ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ or ${ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}$ resonances, but $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{MI} \cdot \mathrm{L}$ have shifts similar to those for the parent iodides, indicating almost complete dissociation. Thermodynamic parameters are reported for the dissociation of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnX} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution.

## Introduction

Recently, it has been proposed that the carcinogenic hexamethylphosphoramide (HMPA) be replaced by the cyclic urea DMPU for reactions requiring a dipolar aprotic solvent [1]. HMPA is a powerful ligand [2-4] and a number of adducts of the type $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{MX} \cdot \mathrm{HMPA}$, where $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Sn}$ or Ph , and $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$ and I , have been prepared and studied spectroscopically [5,6]. It was of particular interest, therefore, to attempt the preparation of a series of analogous adducts using DMPU and the

[^0]similar DMEU as ligands, illustrated below, and to study them by vibrational and NMR spectroscopy.


DMEU


DMPU

Little has been reported in the literature on organometallic compounds of Group IV elements with either acyclic or cyclic ureas, despite the fact that these molecules offer both nitrogen and oxygen atoms for coordination. To date, no cyclic urea complexes of phenyltin or lead halides have been reported, perhaps due to the cyclic ureas not being commercially available until recently. Studies of 2 -imidozolidinone (ethylene urea) and $2(1 H)$-tetrahydropyrimidinone (propylene urea) as ligands in a variety of non-Group IV complexes, show that these ligands coordinate via the oxygen atom $[7,8]$. Shifts in the $\boldsymbol{\nu ( C O )}$ frequency of the ligands tetramethylurea and urea upon coordination to methyl- and phenyl-tin halides also indicate that it is the oxygen atom which coordinates to the metal [9].

## Experimental

Deuterated solvents were used as received from Merck, Sharp and Dohme. Non-deuterated solvents were purified by standard methods. The compounds $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbCl}$ were used as received from Alfa; $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbBr}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbI}$ were supplied by R . Cuenca of our laboratory, and were used as obtained. $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnBr}$ was prepared by the reaction of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}$ with excess NaBr in methanol. $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnI}$ was prepared by the reaction of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}$ with excess NaI in acetone.

The adducts were prepared in the same way for $\mathrm{L}=$ DMEU and DMPU. The parent compound, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{MX}(2 \mathrm{~g})$ was suspended in toluene ( 5 ml ) together with the appropriate liquid ligand ( 3 ml , excess). Upon addition of the ligand, the parent compound dissolved. The adducts tended to crystallize upon cooling at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . In some cases a few drops of pentane were added to initiate crystallization. All the complexes were recrystallized from hot toluene and dried in air. The DMEU adducts appeared to be 'wet' and so were pumped in a vacuum overnight at room temperature. Elemental analyses for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$ and N were determined in duplicate by Guelph Chemical Laboratories, Guelph, Ontario. Melting points were measured on a Thomas Hoover melting point apparatus and are uncorrected.

Raman spectra of powders in capillary tubes and both mid- and far-IR spectra of samples as Nujol mulls were measured as described elsewhere [10]. Solution IR were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 297 instrument using NaCl cells. All frequencies are accurate to $\pm 1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for sharp peaks.

Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on a 200 MHz Varian XL-200 FT spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were measured at 200 MHz as $5 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ solutions in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with TMS as internal standard. The chemical shifts were measured with digital resolution of 0.25 Hz . The FIDs were collected into 16 K data points using a spectral width of 2000 Hz . Chemical shifts were accurate to within $\pm 0.005 \mathrm{ppm}$.
${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ and ${ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}$ NMR spectra were obtained at natural abundance for 0.25 M solutions in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or as saturated solutions, and were measured at 74.6 MHz (digital resolution 3.1 Hz ) and 41.8 MHz (digital resolution 3.3 Hz ), respectively, using as external references $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Sn}$ or $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~Pb}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(50 \%)$, the latter providing the external lock signal. Negative chemical shift values indicate upfield shifts from the references. The FIDs were collected into 32 K data points with a spectral width of $50,000 \mathrm{~Hz}$. In the ${ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}$ spectra, complete proton noise decoupling was employed. The decoupler was 'gated' in the case of the ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ spectra with a delay of 5 s . This led to much longer spectral collection times for this nucleus. All spectra were measured at room temperature ( $20 \pm 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

## Results and discussion

## Analytical data

The analytical data for all the adducts prepared are summarized in Table 1. These adducts are air-stable, crystalline solids which are soluble in dichloromethane, but insoluble in pentane. Ten of the twelve complexes made, namely 1 to 3,5 to 9 , and 11 to 12 , have analyses corresponding to $1: 1$ molecular adducts, $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{MX} \cdot \mathrm{L}$, but complexes 4 analyzed as a $3: 2$ adduct, while complex 10 is a $2: 1$ adduct. Nine of the twelve adducts melted sharply. The complexes 4,6 , and 10 decomposed when heated in the range 96 to $123^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Vibrational spectra

Infrared $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ and $\nu(\mathrm{CN})$ group frequencies for adducts 1 to 12 in the solid state (Table 1) indicate a decrease in $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ and, in most cases, a small increase in $\nu(\mathrm{CN})$ upon coordination, consistent with coordination being through the carbonyl oxygen rather than through ring nitrogen atoms. Moreover, solution spectra gave $\nu(\mathrm{CO})_{\text {rree }}$ peaks more intense than $\nu(\mathrm{CO})_{\text {bound }}$ (Table 1), showing that, at least qualitatively, adducts $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{1 2}$ are highly dissociated in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution.

Skeletal frequencies ( $400-100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) in both the IR and the Raman are given in Tables 2 and 3, with assignments based on those for the parent phenyllead halides reported by Clark et al. [12], and by Wharf et al. [5,6] for the analogous HMPA adducts. The presence of distinct peaks for $\nu_{a s}\left(\mathrm{SnPh}_{3}\right)$ and $\nu_{s}\left(\mathrm{SnPh}_{3}\right)$ modes in IR and Raman spectra, respectively, suggests a symmetry lower than $C_{3 v}$, probably due to asymmetry of the ligand. Lead-oxygen stretching bands have been assigned in the region $195-206 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, slightly lower than in the corresponding tin complexes whose tin-oxygen stretching bands ranged from 225 to $238 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. These ranges are similar to those obtained for the analogous HMPA complexes [4,5]. Values of $\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mathbf{M}-\mathrm{X})$ are lower than those found in the parent, monomeric and four-coordinate $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{MX}$ [5,12]; they are consistent with a five-coordinate structure, like that in HMPA adducts [6], $\mathrm{Ph}_{n} \mathrm{SnX}_{3-n}(n=2,3)$ [10], and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbX}_{2}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Br})$ [13], probably with $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ bonds axial.

The formulation of complexes $\mathbf{4}$ and 10 as $3: 2$ and $2: 1$ adducts, respectively, as determined by elemental analysis, implies the presence of bridging chlorines in molecular, ionic, or polymeric structures. Vibrational data do not allow us to distinguish among the possible structures; single crystal X-ray data are necessary. Bands in the infrared due to bridging chlorines are lower in frequency than terminal ones, but the presence of internal ligand vibrations below $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ prevented the
TABLE 1
ANALYTICAL AND INFRARED DATA

| Compound | Colour | Yield ${ }^{a}$ <br> (\%) | M.p.$\left({ }^{\circ} \dot{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ | Analysis (Found (calcd.) (\%)) |  |  | $\overline{\Delta \nu(\mathrm{CO})^{c}}$ | $\Delta \nu(\mathrm{CN})^{d}$ | $\nu(\mathrm{CO})^{e}$ |  | $\nu(\mathrm{CN})^{f}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | C | H | N |  |  | free | bound |  |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot$ DMEU <br> (1) | white | 71 | 113 | $\begin{gathered} 55.42 \\ (55.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.18 \\ (5.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.80 \\ (5.60) \end{gathered}$ | -63 | +3 | 1694 | 1656 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnBr} \cdot$ DMEU <br> (2) | cream | 47 | 95-97 | $\begin{gathered} 50.74 \\ (50.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.58 \\ (4.63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.07 \\ (5.15) \end{gathered}$ | -62 | +1 | 1694 | 1656 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnI} \cdot \mathrm{DMEU}$ <br> (3) | yellow | 59 | 106-108 | $\begin{gathered} 46.80 \\ (46.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.27 \\ (4.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.76 \\ (4.74) \end{gathered}$ | -60 | +1 | 1685 | 1658 | 8 |
| $3 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbCl} \cdot 2 \mathrm{DMEU}$ <br> (4) | white | 80 | $121-123{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46.87 \\ (46.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.33 \\ (3.97) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.71 \\ (3.40) \end{gathered}$ | - 50 | $+5$ | 1696 | 1666 | $\%$ |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbBr} \cdot \mathrm{DMEU}$ <br> (5) | white | 54 | 108-110 | $\begin{gathered} 43.63 \\ (43.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.15 \\ (3.99) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.44 \\ (4.43) \end{gathered}$ | -41 | $+3$ | 1691 | 1661 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbI} \cdot$ DMEU <br> (6) | pale yellow | 63 | $96^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.60 \\ (40.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.78 \\ (3.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.03 \\ (4.12) \end{gathered}$ | -44 | +5 | 1691 | 1658 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot$ DMPU <br> (7) | white | 45 | 121-122 | $\begin{gathered} 56.03 \\ (56.12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.41 \\ (5.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.62 \\ (5.45) \end{gathered}$ | -63 | +8 | 1629 | 1581 | 1316 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnBr} \cdot$ DMPU <br> (8) | pale yellow | 76 | 137-139 | $\begin{gathered} 51.63 \\ (51.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.90 \\ (4.88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.09 \\ (5.02) \end{gathered}$ | -65 | +5 | 1631 | 1580 | 1318 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnI} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}$ <br> (9) | bright yellow | 82 | 146-148 | $\begin{gathered} 47.55 \\ (47.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.46 \\ (4.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.61 \\ (4.63) \end{gathered}$ | -62 | $+5$ | 1628 | 1579 | 1310 |
| $2 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbCl} \cdot$ DMPU <br> (10) | white | 67 | $123{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46.70 \\ (46.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.52 \\ (3.94) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.77 \\ (2.60) \end{gathered}$ | -54 | +6 | 1630 | 1594 | 1310 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbBr} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}$ <br> (11) | white | 56 | 126 | $\begin{gathered} 44.39 \\ (44.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.25 \\ (4.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.39 \\ (4.34) \end{gathered}$ | -58 | +1 | 1631 | 1596 | 1310 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbI} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}$ <br> (12) | cream | 45 | 136-137 | $\begin{gathered} 41.57 \\ (41.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.96 \\ (3.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.07 \\ (4.04) \end{gathered}$ | -48 | +3 | 1636 | 1598 | 1310 |

${ }^{a}$ After recrystallization from toluene. ${ }^{b}$ Decomposition temperatures. ${ }^{c}$ For complexes as Nujol mulls, $\Delta \nu(\mathrm{CO})=\nu(\mathrm{CO})_{\text {bound }}-\nu(\mathrm{CO})_{\text {free }}{ }^{d} \Delta \nu(\mathrm{CN})=\nu(\mathrm{CN})_{\text {bound }}-$ $\nu(\mathrm{CN})_{\text {free }}$, where C is the carbonyl for complexes as Nujol mulls. ${ }^{e}$ For complexes in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution; for DMEU and DMPU in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \boldsymbol{D}_{2} \nu(\mathrm{CO})$ are 1696 and 1631 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, respectively. ${ }^{f}$ For complexes in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution; $\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mathrm{CN})$ are 1287 and $1318 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for DMEU and DMPU, respectively. ${ }^{\text {g }}$ Peak present as a diffuse, broad band.
TABLE 2
SKELETAL VIBRATIONS ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) FOR $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnX}$-L ADDUCTS ${ }^{a}$

| Assignment | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot$ DMEU |  | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnBr} \cdot$ DMEU |  | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnI} \cdot \mathrm{MDEU}$ |  | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}$ |  | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnBr} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}$ |  | $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnI} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IR | R | IR | R | IR | R | IR | R | IR | R | IR | R |
| $\begin{gathered} \overline{\nu_{a s}(\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{Pb})} \\ (t)^{b} \end{gathered}$ | 274s |  | 274s | 274w | 276s |  | 274s ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 278s | 275w | 276s | 274w |
| $\nu(\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{Cl})$ | 267sh | 264m |  |  |  |  | $274 s^{\text {c }}$ | 267m |  |  |  |  |
| $\nu(\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{O})$ | $\begin{aligned} & 238 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { 230sh } \end{aligned}$ | 237w | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 233sh } \\ & 228 \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | 232w | 232s | 228w | 236m | 233w | 235s | 229w | 230s | 225sh |
| $\mathbf{u}^{\text {b }}$ | 210 m | 218vs | 214w | 215vs | 213sh | $212 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {c }}$ | 212m | 217vs | 206w | 211 vs | 199s | 213 m |
| $\begin{gathered} \nu_{s}(\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{Ph}) \\ \left(t_{1}\right)^{b} \end{gathered}$ | 203w | 205s | 204w | 205s | 208w | 212m ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 202m | 202s | 190sh | d | 193sh | d |
| $\nu(\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{Br})$ |  |  | 172s | 171m |  |  |  |  | 172s | 167vs |  |  |
| $\nu(\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{I})$ |  |  |  |  | 134s | 132vs |  |  |  |  | 131s | 127vs |
| $\mathrm{x}^{\text {b }}$ | 195sh |  | $\begin{array}{r} 80 w \\ 155 w \end{array}$ |  |  | 152m |  | 193sh |  | 158sh |  | 155w |
| $\delta(\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{Cl})$ | 153m |  |  |  |  |  |  | 146m |  |  |  |  |
| Deformations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 105sh |  | 101sh |
| $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{Br})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71sh |  |  |

[^1] under $u$.
TABLE 3
SKELETAL VIBRATIONS $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ FOR $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbX} \cdot \mathrm{L}$ ADDUCTS ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Assignment | $\underline{3 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbCl} \cdot 2 \mathrm{DMEU}}$ |  | $\underline{\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbBr} \cdot \mathrm{DMEU}}$ |  | $\underline{\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbI} \cdot \mathrm{DMEU}}$ |  | $\underline{2 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbCl} \cdot \text { DMPU }}$ |  | $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbBr} \cdot \text { DMPU }}$ |  | $\underline{\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbI} \cdot \text { DMPU }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IR | R | IR | R | IR | R | IR | R | IR | R | IR | R |
| $\begin{gathered} \nu_{a s}(\mathrm{~Pb}-\mathrm{Ph}) \\ (t)^{b} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 235sh } \\ & 229 \mathrm{~s} \end{aligned}$ | 234w | 228s | 229w | 227s |  | 230s | 234w | 229s | 229w |  | $\begin{aligned} & 230 \text { sh } \\ & 220 \mathrm{~s} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\nu(\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Cl})$ | 222sh | 218w |  |  |  |  | 212m | 220w |  |  |  |  |
| $\nu(\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O})$ | 203m |  | 203m |  | 201m |  | 205m |  | 206m |  | 195w |  |
| $\mathbf{u}^{\text {b }}$ | 182m | $\begin{aligned} & 186 w \\ & 173 w \end{aligned}$ |  | 179sh |  |  | 184m |  |  |  | 172w | 170sh |
| $\begin{aligned} & \nu_{s}(\mathrm{~Pb}-\mathrm{Ph}) \\ & \left(t_{1}\right)^{b} \end{aligned}$ | 206sh | 198vs |  | 194vs | 206w | 197m |  | 200vs | 199m | 201vs | 207m | 202vs |
| $\nu(\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Br})$ |  |  | 152s | 151w |  |  |  |  | 149s | 151m |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{I})$ |  |  |  |  | 122s | 121vs |  |  |  |  | 119s | 117vs |
| $\mathrm{x}^{\text {b }}$ |  | 151w |  |  |  |  |  | 155w |  |  |  | 155w |
|  |  | 101sh |  | 94sh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deformations |  | 69sh |  | 62sh |  | 150w |  | 108sh |  |  |  | 96sh |
|  |  | 55sh |  | 42sh |  | 60sh |  |  |  |  |  | 58sh |

${ }^{a}$. As Nujol mulls between polyethylene plates. ${ }^{b}$ Whiffen's notation [11].
assignment of any bridging $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Cl}$ stretching frequencies. The IR spectra of both $3 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbCl} \cdot 2 \mathrm{DMEU}$ and $2 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbCl} \cdot$ DMPU did, however, show a lower baseline relative to that observed in the bromide and iodide complexes, below approximately $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. This suggests the presence of a superimposed peak (or peaks) in the bridging chlorine region.

## ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectra

Only single ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR peaks were observed for ligand protons in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution spectra of the complexes (Table 4), suggesting that rapid exchange occurs between free and complexed species on an NMR time scale. In fact, proton chemical shifts $\delta\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and $\delta\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ were either unchanged or only slightly shifted from those of the free cyclic ureas in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution. These observations strongly support the conclusion, previously made on the basis of infrared data, that complexes are highly dissociated in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution.

Aromatic proton resonances appeared as two, well separated sets of multiplets; the one at low field arising from ortho protons, and other at high field from the meta and para protons. This pattern has been shown by Sau et al. [14,15] to be characteristic of phenyl-substituted pentacoordinated compounds of Main Group elements, when the separation between the two multiplets is greater than in those of related tetracovalent compounds. The multiplet separation for $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$

TABLE 4
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR DATA FOR COMPLEXES $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{MX} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{a}$ WHERE $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Sn}$ AND $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$ AND I, AND $L=$ DMEU AND DMPU

| Complex |  | $\delta\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | $\delta\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H})$ | $\delta\left(\right.$ ortho) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\delta(\text { meta } / \text { para })^{\text {c }}$ | $\Delta^{d}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}\left({ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}-\mathrm{H}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot \mathrm{DMEU}$ | (1) | 2.67 | 3.20 |  | 7.69 | 7.49 | 0.20 |  |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnBr} \cdot$ DMEU | (2) | 2.71 | 3.22 |  | 7.66 | 7.47 | 0.19 |  |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnI} \cdot$ DMEU | (3) | 2.72 | 3.22 |  | 7.66 | 7.46 | 0.20 |  |
| $3 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbCl} \cdot 2 \mathrm{DMEU}$ | (4) | 2.53 | 3.14 |  | 7.75 | 7.44 | 0.31 | 128 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbBr} \cdot$ DMEU | (5) | 2.63 | 3.18 |  | 7.75 | 7.47 | 0.28 | 112 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbI} \cdot \mathrm{DMEU}$ | (6) | 2.69 | 3.21 |  | 7.73 | 7.46 | 0.27 | 104 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}$ | (7) | 2.77 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.89^{e} \\ & 3.16^{f} \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 7.71 | 7.45 | 0.26 |  |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnBr} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}$ | (8) | 2.81 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.91^{\circ} \\ & 3.19 \mathrm{f} \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 7.68 | 7.47 | 0.21 |  |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnI} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}$ | (9) | 2.82 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.92^{e} \\ & 3.19^{f} \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 7.64 | 7.45 | 0.19 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbCl} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}$ | (10) | 2.67 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.82^{\circ} \\ & 3.11^{f} \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 7.76 | 7.51 | 0.25 | 111 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathbf{P h B r} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}$ | (11) | 2.73 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.88^{e} \\ & 3.14^{f} \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 7.78 | 7.53 | 0.25 | 111 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathbf{P b I} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}$ | (12) | 2.81 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.92^{d} \\ & 3.18^{f} \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 7.72 | 7.47 | 0.25 | 104 |

[^2]was 0.20 ppm , almost the same as that observed in five of the six cyclic-urea complexes of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnX}$ in Table 1. However, for $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot$ DMPU the multiplet separation increased from 0.26 to 0.34 and 0.44 ppm as 0.01 and 0.03 ml of DMPU was added to the solution in the NMR tube, indicating that the concentration of the 5 -coordinate species in the equilibrium $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}+\mathrm{DMPU} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot$ DMPU had increased. The neutral triphenyltin halide complexes examined by Sau et al. [14,15] were assumed to remain pentacoordinate in solution with no obvious dissociation in solution. This assumption is not true in at least two of the complexes they studied, for $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot \mathrm{HMPA}$ [5] and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbCl} \cdot \mathrm{HMPA}$ [6] have been shown to dissociate in benzene solution. In the more polar solvents used by Sau et al. [14,15] dissociation should be even greater.

## ${ }^{119}$ Sn and ${ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}$ NMR spectra

In their report of the ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ NMR spectra of a number of organotin compounds, Holeček et al. [16] found that the ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ chemical shifts of 5 -coordinate complexes are upfield from those of tetracovalent complexes. Similarly, Cox [18] has shown that ${ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}$ chemical shifts of tetracovalent compounds, such as $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{PbCl}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, increase dramatically when placed in highly coordinating solvents such as HMPA. Thus, there appears to be a correlation between chemical shifts and coordination number in these systems. Both ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ and ${ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}$ chemical shifts obtained in this work (Table 5) were to high field of the reference compound. In spite of the fast exchange occurring between free and complexed species in solution, the large ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ and ${ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}$ chemical shifts confirm the presence of complexes in solution. In both tin and lead series trends in $\Delta$ values are in the orders: $\mathrm{DMPU}>\mathrm{DMEU}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}>\mathrm{Br}>1$, suggesting that these might be the orders of adduct stability. Interestingly, two sets of adducts, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnX} \cdot \mathrm{DMEU}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br})$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnX} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br})$, have

TABLE 5
${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ AND ${ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}$ CHEMICAL SHIFTS ${ }^{a}$

| Compound | $\delta\left({ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}\right)$ | $\Delta \delta\left({ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}\right){ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\delta\left({ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}\right)$ | $\Delta \delta\left({ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}\right)^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{MCl}$ | -48 (-44.7 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) |  | $-42^{d}\left(-38^{\circ}\right)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{MBr}$ | -62 (-59.8 ${ }^{c}$ ) |  | $-74^{d}\left(-68^{e}\right)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{MI}$ | $-113\left(-112.8{ }^{\text {c }}\right.$ ) |  | $-195{ }^{\text {d }}\left(-202^{\text {e }}\right.$ ) |  |
| $3 \mathrm{Ph}{ }_{3} \mathrm{PbCl} \cdot 2 \mathrm{DMEU}$ |  |  | $-144{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 102 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot$ DMEU | -85 | 37 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{MBr} \cdot \mathrm{DMEU}$ | -84 | 22 | -123 | 49 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{MI} \cdot \mathrm{DMEU}$ | -118 | $5^{8}$ | -196 | 1 |
| $2 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbCl} \cdot$ DMPU |  |  | $-124{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 82 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}$-DMPU | $-108\left(-103{ }^{\prime}\right)$ | 60 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{MBr} \cdot$ DMPU | -105 | 43 | -141 | 67 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{MI} \cdot$ DMPU | $-128\left(-123{ }^{\prime}\right)$ | $15^{\text {g }}$ | -201 | 6 |

[^3]TABLE 6
THERMODYNAMIC DATA FOR DISSOCIATION OF TRIPHENYLTIN HALIDE COMPLEXES

| Complex | $K$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{1}^{-1}\right)$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\Delta H^{\circ} \\ (\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol} \\ \\ \text { - }\end{array}\right)$ | $\Delta G^{\circ}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Delta S^{\circ}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}^{a}$ | $0.32 \pm 0.01$ | $36.5 \pm 0.5$ | $2.8 \pm 0.1$ | $115 \pm 2$ |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnBr} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}^{a}$ | $0.53 \pm 0.02$ | $40 \pm 1$ | $1.5 \pm 0.05$ | $130 \pm 3$ |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot \mathrm{py}^{b}$ | 1.1 | 41 | 0.3 | 134 |

${ }^{a}$ Measurements in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution. ${ }^{b}$ Measurements in benzene solution [20].
similar ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ chemical shifts, whereas the corresponding iodide compounds have different values. This might be due to an ionization: $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnX} \cdot \mathrm{L} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnL}^{+}+\mathrm{X}^{-}$, which is less likely for $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$ and Br than for $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}$ because of the weaker $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{I}$ bond. Alternatively, the dissociation: $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnX} \cdot \mathrm{L} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnX}+\mathrm{L}$ is more favourable with the least electronegative iodine atom which would make $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnI}$ the weakest acceptor. That dissociation that is almost complete in $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnI}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbI}$ systems is supported by the fact that $\delta\left({ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}\right)$ of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnI} \cdot \mathrm{DMEU}$ in solution is almost the same as that of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnI}$ in solution and values of $\delta\left({ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}\right)$ are little different in $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PbI}$ and its DMEU and DMPU adducts in solution.

The degrees of dissociation of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnBr} \cdot$ DMPU were estimated from $\delta\left({ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}\right)$ values of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}(-225 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnBr}(-230 \mathrm{ppm})$ in pure DMPU and the corresponding values for $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot$ DMPU and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnBr}$. DMPU in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution, assuming that the species in pure DMPU were only the 1:1 adducts. Although this is the usual assumption [16,18], the possibility that dissociation occurs in pure DMPU and fast exchange results cannot be excluded. The observed chemical shift ( $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ ) of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnX} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}$ as a 0.25 M solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is given by [19]: $\delta_{\text {obs }}=\nu_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}+\nu_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}$, where $\nu_{\mathrm{A}}=$ chemical shift of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnX}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; \nu_{\mathrm{B}}=$ chemical shift of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnX} \cdot \mathrm{DMPU}$ as $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnX}$ in neat DMPU; $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ mole fraction of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnX}$, and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}=$ mole fraction of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnX} \cdot$ DMPU. Dissociations were calculated to be $66 \%$ for a 0.25 M solution of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot$ DMPU at 293 K , and $74 \%$ for $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnBr} \cdot$ DMPU. The corresponding dissociation constants are $K=0.32 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{1}{ }^{-1}$ at 293 K , for $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot$ DMPU and $0.53 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnBr} \cdot$ DMPU. Thermodynamic parameters for these dissociations were obtained by recording $\delta\left({ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}\right)$ in the temperature range of 20 to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and doing regression analysis of $\ln K$ against $1 / T$ plots. The results (regression coefficient $=0.999$ ) are shown in Table 6, together with corresponding data for $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl} \cdot$ py obtained by Farhangi and Graddon [20] using a calorimetric method. Despite pyridine being a $N$-donor ligand, the values are similar in the three complexes.
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[^0]:    * Presented by C.T.A. at the Fourth International Conference on the Organometallic and Coordination Chemistry of Germanium, Tin and Lead, Montreal, Canada, 1983, paper H-6.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ As Nujol mulls between polyethylene plates; vs = very strong, $s=$ strong, $m=$ medium, $w=$ weak, $s h=$ shoulder. ${ }^{b}$ Whiffen's notation [11]. ${ }^{c}$ Superimposed. ${ }^{d}$ Hidden

[^2]:    ${ }^{a}$ Measured in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Chemical shifts measured in ppm relative to internal TMS accurate to $\pm 0.005$ ppm. Coupling constants measured in Hz . Digital resolution $0.25 \mathrm{~Hz} .^{b}$ Ortho protons of phenyl rings.
    ${ }^{c}$ Meta / para protons of phenyl rings. ${ }^{d} \Delta=\delta$ (ortho multiplet) $-\delta($ meta / para multiplet) in ppm.
    ${ }^{e}$ Middle $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-quintet. ${ }^{f} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ 's bonded to N .

[^3]:    ${ }^{a}$ Measured in ppm for 0.25 M solutions in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, unless otherwise indicated, at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ relative to $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Sn}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~Pb}$, respectively. ${ }^{b}$ Differences in ppm between free and complexed species. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Ref. 16; measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. ${ }^{d}$ Measured for saturated solutions ( $<0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ). ${ }^{e}$ Ref. 17; measured for saturated solutions in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ relative to $\mathrm{MePb}_{4}$, but corrected to $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~Pb}^{/}$Values for saturated solution in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$. ${ }^{8}$ Coupling constants ${ }^{1} J\left({ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) were 574.8 and 578.3 Hz for $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnI} \cdot$ DMEU and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnI} \cdot$ DMPU, respectively, compared with 567.6 Hz for $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnI}$ [16].

